arXiv:1003.2297v3 [math.GR] 6 Nov 2010

n-TH RELATIVE NILPOTENCY DEGREE AND RELATIVE n-ISOCLINISM CLASSES

RASHID REZAEI AND FRANCESCO G. RUSSO

Abstract. P. Hall introduced the notion of isoclinism between two groups more than 60 years ago. Successively, many authors have extended such a notion in different contexts. The present paper deals with the notion of relative n-isoclinism, given by N. S. Hekster in 1986, and with the notion of n-th relative nilpotency degree, recently introduced in literature.

1. Introduction and Statement of Results

Every locally compact topological group G admits a left Haar measure μ_G, which is a positive Radon measure on a σ-algebra containing Borel sets with the property that $\mu_G(xE) = \mu_G(E)$ for each element x of the measure space G (see [13, Theorem 2.8]). The support of μ_G is G and it is usually unbounded, but if G is compact, then μ_G is bounded. For this reason we may assume without ambiguity that a compact group G has a unique probability measure space, where μ_G is normalized, that is, $\mu_G(G) = 1$.

Let G be a compact group with the normalized Haar measure μ_G. On the product measure space $G \times G$, it is possible to consider the product measure $\mu_G \times \mu_G$. If

$$C_2 = \{(x, y) \in G \times G \mid [x, y] = 1\},$$

then $C_2 = f^{-1}(1)$, where $f : G \times G \to G$ is defined via $f(x, y) = [x, y]$. It is clear that f is continuous and so C_2 is a compact and measurable subset of $G \times G$. Therefore it is possible to define the *commutativity degree* of G as

$$d(G) = (\mu_G \times \mu_G)(C_2).$$

We may extend $d(G)$ as follows. Suppose that $n \geq 1$ is an integer, G^n is the product of n-copies of G and μ_G^n that of n-copies of μ_G. The *n-th nilpotency degree* of G is defined to be

$$d^{(n)}(G) = \mu_G^{n+1}(C_{n+1}),$$

where

$$C_{n+1} = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}) \in G^{n+1} \mid [x_1, x_2, ..., x_{n+1}] = 1\}.$$

Obviously, if G is finite, then G is a compact group with the discrete topology and so the Haar measure of G is the counting measure. Then we have as special situation for a finite group G:

$$d^{(n)}(G) = \mu_G^{n+1}(C_{n+1}) = \frac{|C_{n+1}|}{|G|^{n+1}}.$$

When $n = 1$, literature can be found in [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 14].

Let G be a compact group and H be a closed subgroup of G. It is possible to define

$$D_2 = \{(h, g) \in H \times G \mid [h, g] = 1\}.$$

Then $D_2 = \phi^{-1}(1)$, where $\phi : H \times G \to G$ is defined via $\phi(h, g) = [h, g]$. It is clear that ϕ is continuous and so D_2 is a compact and measurable subset of $H \times G$. Note that ϕ is the restriction

---

Date: November 9, 2010.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 20D60, 20P05; Secondary: 20D08, 20D15.

Key words and phrases. Nilpotency degree, relative n-th nilpotency degree, relative n-isoclinism classes.

of f under $H \times G$. This remark shows why H has to be required as closed subgroup of G. Then we may define the *relative commutativity degree* of H with respect to G as

$$d(H,G) = (\mu_H \times \mu_G)(D_2).$$

Considering

$$D_{n+1} = \{(h_1, , ..., h_n, g) \in H^n \times G \mid [h_1, h_2, ..., h_n, g] = 1\},$$

we define the *relative n-th nilpotency degree* of H with respect to G as

$$d^{(n)}(H,G) = (\mu_H^n \times \mu_G)(D_{n+1}).$$

The following notion is fundamental for stating our results (see for terminology [1, 2, 6, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15]).

Definition 1.1. Let G_1, G_2 be two groups, H_1 a subgroup of G_1 and H_2 a subgroup of G_2. A pair (α, β) is said to be a relative n-isoclinism from (H_1, G_1) to (H_2, G_2) if we have the following conditions:

(i) α is an isomorphism from $G_1/Z_n(G_1)$ to $G_2/Z_n(G_2)$ such that the restriction of α under $H_1/(Z_n(G_1) \cap H_1)$ is an isomorphism from $H_1/(Z_n(G_1) \cap H_1)$ to $H_2/(Z_n(G_2) \cap H_2)$, that is, the map $\alpha^{n+1} : \frac{H_1}{Z_n(G_1)\cap H_1} \times ... \times \frac{H_1}{Z_n(G_1)\cap H_1} \times \frac{G_1}{Z_n(G_1)} \to \frac{H_2}{Z_n(G_2)\cap H_2} \times ... \times \frac{H_2}{Z_n(G_2)\cap H_2} \times \frac{G_2}{Z_n(G_2)}$ is an isomorphism;

(ii) β is an isomorphism from $[_nH_1, G_1]$ to $[_nH_2, G_2]$;

(iii) Considering for each $h_1, ..., h_n \in H_1$, $k_1, ..., k_n \in H_2$, $g_1 \in G_1$, $g_2 \in G_2$ there exists a commutative diagram in which the map

$$\gamma(n, H_1, G_1) : ((h_1(Z_n(G_1) \cap H_1), ..., h_n(Z_n(G_1) \cap H_1), g_1 Z_n(G_1))) \in \frac{H_1}{Z_n(G_1) \cap H_1} \times ...$$

$$... \times \frac{H_1}{Z_n(G_1) \cap H_1} \times \frac{G_1}{Z_n(G_1)} \mapsto [h_1, ..., h_n, g_1] \in [_nH_1, G_1]$$

and the map

$$\gamma(n, H_2, G_2) : ((k_1(Z_n(G_2) \cap H_2), ..., k_n(Z_n(G_2) \cap H_2), g_2 Z_n(G_2))) \in \frac{H_2}{Z_n(G_2) \cap H_2} \times ...$$

$$... \times \frac{H_2}{Z_n(G_2) \cap H_2} \times \frac{G_2}{Z_n(G_2)} \mapsto [k_1, ..., k_n, g_2] \in [_nH_2, G_2],$$

can be composed by the rule

$$\gamma(n, H_2, G_2) \circ \alpha^{n+1} = \beta \circ \gamma(n, H_1, G_1).$$

It is easy to see that Definition 1.1 is well posed. In particular, two groups G_1 and G_2 in Definition 1.1 are called *isoclinic* if $n = 1$, $H_1 = G_1$ and $H_2 = G_2$.

P. Hall already pointed out that two groups which are isoclinic can allow us to define the *isoclinism* as an equivalence relation [11]. Then it is possible to classify two groups with respect to their *isoclinism class*. See [10] as general reference on the topic. Successively, this crucial passage was pointed out by J. Bioch in [1, 2] and by N. S. Hekster in [12] with respect to generalizations of the notion of isoclinism. At the same way, Definition 1.1 allows us to define an equivalence relation, which extends that of P. Hall in [11], that of J. Bioch in [1, 2] and that of N. S. Hekster in [12]. Two groups which satisfy Definition 1.1 are called *relative n-isoclinic* and we will write briefly $(H_1, G_1) \underset{n}{\sim} (H_2, G_2)$. It is easy to see that it is possible to classify two groups with respect to their *relative n-isoclinism class*.

The main results of the present paper are listed below and they generalize [6, Theorem 4.2].

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that G_1 and G_2 are two compact groups, H_1 is a closed subgroup of G_1 and H_2 is a closed subgroup of G_2. If $(H_1, G_1) \underset{n}{\sim} (H_2, G_2)$, then $d^{(n)}(H_1, G_1) = d^{(n)}(H_2, G_2)$*

Theorem 1.3. *Let G be a compact group and H be a closed subgroup of G. If $G = HZ_n(G)$, then $d^{(n)}(H) = d^{(n)}(H, G) = d^{(n)}(G)$.*

2. Proof of Main Theorems and Some Consequences

This section is devoted to describe our main results.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume that G is a compact group, H is a closed subgroup of G and $C_G([h_1,...,h_n])$ is the centralizer of the commutator $[h_1,...,h_n]$ in G for some elements $h_1,...,h_n$ in H. Then*

$$d^{(n)}(H,G)=\int_H \dots \left(\int_H \mu_G(C_G([h_1,...,h_n]))d\mu_H(h_1)\right)\dots d\mu_H(h_n),$$

where

$$\mu_G(C_G([h_1,...,h_n]))=\int_G \chi_{_{D_{n+1}}}(h_1,...,h_n,g)d\mu_G(g),$$

and $\chi_{_{D_{n+1}}}$ denotes the characteristic map of the set D_{n+1}.

Proof. Since

$$\mu_G(C_G([h_1,...,h_n]))=\int_G \chi_{_{D_{n+1}}}(h_1,...,h_n,g)d\mu_G(g)$$

we have by Fubini-Tonelli's Theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} d^{(n)}(H,G) &= (\mu_H^n\times\mu_G)(D_{n+1})=\textstyle\int_{H^n\times G}\chi_{_{D_{n+1}}}(d\mu_H^n\times d\mu_G)\\ &= \textstyle\int_H ... \left(\int_H\left(\int_G \chi_{_{D_{n+1}}}(h_1,...,h_n,g)d\mu_G(g)\right)d\mu_H(h_1)\right) ... \ d\mu_H(h_n)\\ &= \textstyle\int_H ... \left(\int_H \mu(C_G([h_1,...,h_n]))d\mu_H(h_1)\right) ... \ d\mu_H(h_n). \end{aligned}$$

□

We recall to convenience of the reader [4, Section 6, Theorem 3]. Let $(\Omega_1,\mathcal{A}_1)$ and $(\Omega_2,\mathcal{A}_2)$ be two measurable spaces. The mapping $X:\Omega_1\to\Omega_2$ is said to be a *measurable transformation* from $(\Omega_1,\mathcal{A}_1)$ to $(\Omega_2,\mathcal{A}_2)$ if $X^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_2)\subseteq\mathcal{A}_1$. Now for a measurable transformation X from a measure space $(\Omega_1,\mathcal{A}_1,\mu)$ to a measurable space $(\Omega_2,\mathcal{A}_2)$ one can check that the measure μ induces a measure ν on $\mathcal{A}_2$ via $\nu\{A\}=\mu\{X^{-1}(A)\}$, for all $A\in\mathcal{A}_2$. This induced measure is denoted by μX^{-1}. Let ϕ be an isomorphism from a compact group G_1 with Haar measure μ_{G_1} onto a compact group G_2 with Haar measure μ_{G_2}. It is clear that ϕ is a measurable transformation from G_1 to G_2, then by the uniqueness of the Haar measure on compact groups, we have that $\mu_{G_2}=\mu_{G_1}\phi^{-1}$ and so

$$(*) \qquad \int_{G_2} f d\mu_{G_2}=\int_{G_1}(f\phi)d\mu_{G_1}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let (α,β) be a relative n-isoclinism from (H_1,G_1) to (H_2,G_2) as in Definition 1.1. Assume that $n=1$. Let μ_{H_1} be a normalized Haar measure on H_1, μ_{H_2} on H_2, μ_{G_1} on G_1, μ_{G_2} on G_2, ν_{H_1} on $H_1/(H_1\cap Z(G_1))$, ν_{H_2} on $H_2/(H_2\cap Z(G_2))$, ν_{G_1} on $G_1/Z(G_1)$ and ν_{G_2} on $G_2/Z(G_2)$. Note that these requirements are well posed, because H_1 is a closed subgroup of G_1 and H_2 is a closed subgroup of G_2.

With obvious meaning of symbols, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(H_1,G_1) &= \textstyle\int_{H_1}\int_{G_1}\chi_{_{D_2}}(h_1,g_1)d\mu_{G_1}(g_1)d\mu_{H_1}(h_1)\\ &= \textstyle\int_{H_1}\left(\int_{\frac{G_1}{Z(G_1)}}\left(\int_{Z(G_1)}\chi_{_{D_2}}(h_1,z_1g_1)d\mu_{Z(G_1)}(z_1)\right)d\nu_{G_1}(\bar{g_1})\right)d\mu_{H_1}(h_1)\\ &= \textstyle\int_{H_1}\left(\int_{\frac{G_1}{Z(G_1)}}\left(\int_{Z(G_1)}\chi_{_{D_2}}(h_1,g_1)d\mu_{Z(G_1)}(z_1)\right)d\nu_{G_1}(\bar{g_1})\right)d\mu_{H_1}(h_1)\\ &= \textstyle\int_{H_1}\left(\int_{\frac{G_1}{Z(G_1)}}\chi_{_{D_2}}(h_1,g_1)\left(\int_{Z(G_1)}d\mu_{Z(G_1)}(z_1)\right)d\nu_{G_1}(\bar{g_1})\right)d\mu_{H_1}(h_1)\\ &= \textstyle\int_{H_1}\left(\int_{\frac{G_1}{Z(G_1)}}\chi_{_{D_2}}(h_1,g_1)d\nu_{G_1}(\bar{g_1})\right)d\mu_{H_1}(h_1) \end{aligned}$$

now by Fubini-Tonelli's Theorem we have

$$= \int_{\frac{G_1}{Z(G_1)}} \left(\int_{H_1} \chi_{D_2}(h_1, g_1) d\mu_{H_1}(h_1) \right) d\nu_{G_1}(\bar{g}_1)$$

then we may proceed as before:

$$= \int_{\frac{G_1}{Z(G_1)}} \left(\int_{\frac{H_1}{H_1 \cap Z(G_1)}} \left(\int_{H_1 \cap Z(G_1)} \chi_{D_2}(h_1 \zeta_1, g_1) d\mu_{Z(G_1) \cap H_1}(\zeta_1) \right) d\nu_{H_1}(\bar{h}_1) \right) d\nu_{G_1}(\bar{g}_1)$$

$$= \int_{\frac{G_1}{Z(G_1)}} \left(\int_{\frac{H_1}{H_1 \cap Z(G_1)}} \left(\int_{H_1 \cap Z(G_1)} \chi_{D_2}(h_1, g_1) d\mu_{Z(G_1) \cap H_1}(\zeta_1) \right) d\nu_{H_1}(\bar{h}_1) \right) d\nu_{G_1}(\bar{g}_1)$$

$$= \int_{\frac{G_1}{Z(G_1)}} \left(\int_{\frac{H_1}{H_1 \cap Z(G_1)}} \chi_{D_2}(h_1, g_1) \left(\int_{H_1 \cap Z(G_1)} d\mu_{Z(G_1) \cap H_1}(\zeta_1) \right) d\nu_{H_1}(\bar{h}_1) \right) d\nu_{G_1}(\bar{g}_1)$$

$$= \int_{\frac{G_1}{Z(G_1)}} \left(\int_{\frac{H_1}{H_1 \cap Z(G_1)}} \chi_{D_2}(h_1, g_1) d\nu_{H_1}(\bar{h}_1) \right) d\nu_{G_1}(\bar{g}_1)$$

now the commutativity of the diagram in Definition 1.1 and the fact that β is an isomorphism of compact groups allow us to write

$$= \int_{\frac{G_1}{Z(G_1)}} \left(\int_{\frac{H_1}{H_1 \cap Z(G_1)}} \chi_{D_2}(\gamma(1, H_1, G_1)(\bar{h}_1, \bar{g}_1)) d\nu_{H_1}(\bar{h}_1) \right) d\nu_{G_1}(\bar{g}_1)$$

$$= \int_{\frac{G_1}{Z(G_1)}} \left(\int_{\frac{H_1}{H_1 \cap Z(G_1)}} \chi_{D_2}(\beta(\gamma(1, H_1, G_1)(\bar{h}_1, \bar{g}_1))) d\nu_{H_1}(\bar{h}_1) \right) d\nu_{G_1}(\bar{g}_1)$$

$$= \int_{\frac{G_1}{Z(G_1)}} \left(\int_{\frac{H_1}{H_1 \cap Z(G_1)}} \chi_{D_2}(\gamma(1, H_2, G_2)(\alpha^2(\bar{h}_1, \bar{g}_1))) d\nu_{H_1}(\bar{h}_1) \right) d\nu_{G_1}(\bar{g}_1)$$

now we may apply $(*)$ above so

$$= \int_{\frac{G_2}{Z(G_2)}} \left(\int_{\frac{H_2}{H_2 \cap Z(G_2)}} \chi_{D_2}(\gamma(1, H_2, G_2)(\bar{k}_1, \bar{g}_2)) d\nu_{H_2}(\bar{k}_1) \right) d\nu_{G_2}(\bar{g}_2)$$

$$= \int_{\frac{G_2}{Z(G_2)}} \left(\int_{\frac{H_2}{H_2 \cap Z(G_2)}} \chi_{D_2}(k_1, g_2) d\nu_{H_2}(\bar{k}_1) \right) d\nu_{G_2}(\bar{g}_2)$$

then we may proceed as before

$$= \int_{\frac{G_2}{Z(G_2)}} \left(\int_{\frac{H_2}{H_2 \cap Z(G_2)}} \chi_{D_2}(k_1, g_2) \left(\int_{H_2 \cap Z(G_2)} d\mu_{Z(G_2) \cap H_2}(\zeta_2) \right) d\nu_{H_2}(\bar{k}_1) \right) d\nu_{G_2}(\bar{g}_2)$$

$$= \int_{\frac{G_2}{Z(G_2)}} \left(\int_{\frac{H_2}{H_2 \cap Z(G_2)}} \left(\int_{H_2 \cap Z(G_2)} \chi_{D_2}(k_1, g_2) d\mu_{Z(G_2) \cap H_2}(\zeta_2) \right) d\nu_{H_2}(\bar{k}_1) \right) d\nu_{G_2}(\bar{g}_2)$$

$$= \int_{\frac{G_2}{Z(G_2)}} \left(\int_{\frac{H_2}{H_2 \cap Z(G_2)}} \left(\int_{H_2 \cap Z(G_2)} \chi_{D_2}(k_1 \zeta_2, g_2) d\mu_{Z(G_2) \cap H_2}(\zeta_2) \right) d\nu_{H_2}(\bar{h}_2) \right) d\nu_{G_2}(\bar{g}_2)$$

$$= \int_{\frac{G_2}{Z(G_2)}} \left(\int_{H_2} \chi_{D_2}(k_1, g_2) d\mu_{H_2}(k_1) \right) d\nu_{G_2}(\bar{g}_2)$$

$$= \int_{H_2} \left(\int_{\frac{G_2}{Z(G_2)}} \chi_{D_2}(k_1, g_2) d\nu_{G_2}(\bar{g}_2) \right) d\mu_{H_2}(k_1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{H_2}\left(\int_{\frac{G_2}{Z(G_2)}}\left(\int_{Z(G_2)}\chi_{D_2}(k_1,g_2)d\mu_{Z(G_2)}(z_2)\right)d\nu_{G_2}(\bar{g_2})\right)d\mu_{H_2}(k_1)\\
&= \int_{H_2}\left(\int_{\frac{G_2}{Z(G_2)}}\left(\int_{Z(G_2)}\chi_{D_2}(k_1,g_2z_2)d\mu_{Z(G_2)}(z_2)\right)d\nu_{G_2}(\bar{g_2})\right)d\mu_{H_2}(k_1)\\
&= \int_{H_2}\int_{G_2}\chi_{D_2}(k_1,g_2)d\mu_{G_2}(g_2)d\mu_{H_2}(k_1)\\
&= d(H_2,G_2).
\end{aligned}$$

The result follows in this case.

If we assume that $n > 1$, then we may repeat step by step the previous argument, writing the corresponding integrals for the $(n+1)$-tuple $(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n, g_1)$ of $H_1^n \times G_1$ and for the $(n+1)$-tuple $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n, g_2)$ of $H_2^n \times G_2$. Therefore the result follows completely. $\square$

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is mainly due to the next two lemmas, where the notations of Definition 1.1 have been adopted. Note that there is not hypothesis of compactness in the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2.2. *$(H_1, G_1) \underset{n}{\sim} (H_2, G_2)$ if and only if there exist normal subgroups $N_1 \leq Z_n(G_1)$, $N_2 \leq Z_n(G_2)$ and two isomorphisms α and β such that $\alpha : G_1/N_1 \to G_2/N_2$, $\beta : [_nH_1, G_1] \to [_nH_2, G_2]$, $\alpha(H_1/(N_1 \cap H_1)) = H_2/(N_2 \cap H_2)$ for all $g_1 \in G_1$ and $h_i \in H_1$, $\beta([h_1, ..., h_n, g_1]) = [k_1, ..., k_n, g_2]$, where $g_2 \in \alpha(g_1N_1)$, $k_i \in \alpha(h_i(N_1 \cap H_1))$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

Proof. Assume that $(H_1, G_1) \underset{n}{\sim} (H_2, G_2)$. We may write $N_1 = Z_n(G_1)$ and $N_2 = Z_n(G_2)$. From this, the result follows.

Conversely, one can show easily that $\alpha(Z_n(G_1)/N_1) = Z_n(G_2)/N_2$, therefore by third isomorphism theorem, α induces the isomorphism α' from $G_1/Z_n(G_1)$ to $G_2/Z_n(G_2)$ by the rule $\alpha'(g_1Z_n(G_1)) = g_2Z_n(G_2)$, where $g_2 \in \alpha(g_1N_1)$. Furthermore

$$\alpha'(h_1(Z_n(G_1) \cap H_1)) = h_2(Z_n(G_2) \cap H_2)$$

in which $h_2 \in \alpha(h_1(N_1 \cap H_1))$. Hence (α', β) is a relative n-isoclinism from (H_1, G_1) to (H_2, G_2). $\square$

Lemma 2.3. *Let H be a subgroup of a group $G = HZ_n(G)$. Then*

$$(H, H) \underset{n}{\sim} (H, G) \underset{n}{\sim} (G, G).$$

Proof. We want to show that $(H, H) \underset{n}{\sim} (H, G)$. Let $G = HZ_n(G)$. We may easily see that $Z_n(H) = Z_n(G) \cap H$. Thus $H/Z_n(H) = H/(Z_n(G) \cap H)$ is isomorphic to $HZ_n(G)/Z_n(G) = G/Z_n(G)$.

Therefore $\alpha : H/Z_n(H) \to G/Z_n(G)$ is an isomorphism which is induced by the inclusion $i : H \to G$. Furthermore, we can consider α as isomorphism from $H/Z_n(H)$ to $H/(Z_n(G) \cap H)$.

On another hand, $[_nH, G] = [_nH, HZ_n(G)] = \gamma_{n+1}(H)$. By Lemma 2.2, the pair $(\alpha, 1_{\gamma_{n+1}(H)})$ allows us to state that $(H, H) \underset{n}{\sim} (H, G)$.

The remaining cases $(H, H) \underset{n}{\sim} (G, G)$ and $(H, H) \underset{n}{\sim} (H, G)$ follow by a similar argument. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. It follows from Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 2.3. $\square$

We end with some consequences of the main results. We recall the following lemma, which is used in many proofs of [5, 7, 8, 9].

Lemma 2.4 (See [6], Lemma 3.1)**.** *Let k be a positive integer. If H is a closed subgroup of a compact group G, then*

$$\mu_G(H) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k}, & |G : H| = k \\ 0, & |G : H| = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 2.5. *Let G be a compact group and $G/Z(G)$ be a p-group of order p^k, where p is a prime and $k \geq 2$ is an integer. Then $d(G) \leq \frac{p^k+p-1}{p^{k+1}}$ and the equality holds if G is isoclinic to an extra-special p-group of order p^{k+1}.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.4 we have $\mu_G(Z(G)) = \frac{1}{p^k}$ and $\mu_G(C_G(x)) \leq \frac{1}{p}$ for all $x \notin Z(G)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} d(G) &= \int_G \mu_G(C_G(x)) d\mu_G(x) = \int_{Z(G)} \mu_G(C_G(x)) + \int_{G-Z(G)} \mu_G(C_G(x)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} + (\frac{p-1}{p})\mu_G(Z(G)) = \frac{1}{p} + (\frac{p-1}{p})\frac{1}{p^k} = \frac{p^k+p-1}{p^{k+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1.2, there is no loss of generality in assuming that G is an extra-special p-group of order p^{k+1}. For all $x \notin Z(G)$ we may consider the epimorphism $\varphi_x : G \to G'$ defined by $\varphi_x(y) = [x, y]$ in which the kernel is $C_G(x)$. Therefore $\mu_G(C_G(x)) = \frac{1}{p}$ and so the above inequality becomes $d(G) = \frac{p^k+p-1}{p^{k+1}}$. ☐

An easy consequence has been shown below.

Proposition 2.6. *If G is a compact group in which $Z(G)$ has infinite index in G, then $d(G) \leq \frac{1}{2}$. In particular, if G is isoclinic to an extra-special p-group for some prime p, then $d(G) = \frac{1}{p}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.4 we have $\mu_G(Z(G)) = 0$ and $\mu_G(C_G(x)) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ for all $x \notin Z(G)$. From this, we have

$$d(G) = \int_G \mu_G(C_G(x)) d\mu_G(x) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\mu_G(Z(G)) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Following the same argument, if $G/Z(G)$ is an infinite p-group, then $d(G) \leq \frac{1}{p}$. In particular, if G is isoclinic to an extra-special p-group, then we may argue as in the last part of the proof of Proposition 2.5, concluding that $\mu_G(C_G(x)) = \frac{1}{p}$ for every $x \notin Z(G)$. Therefore the equality $d(G) = \frac{1}{p}$ holds and the result follows. ☐

References

[1] J. C. Bioch, *On n-isoclinic groups.* Indag. Math. **38** (1976), 400–407.
[2] J. C. Bioch and R. W. van der Waall, *Monomiality and isoclinism of groups.* J. Reine Ang. Math. **298** (1978), 74–88.
[3] K. Chiti, M. R. R. Moghaddam and A. R. Salemkar, *n-isoclinism classes and n-nilpotency degree of finite groups.* Algebra Coll. **12** (2005), 225–261.
[4] Y. S. Chow and H. Teicher, *Probability Theory: Independence, Interchangeability, Martingales.* Springer, Berlin, 1988.
[5] A. Erfanian, P. Lescot and R. Rezaei, *On the relative commutativity degree of a subgroup of a finite group.* Comm. Algebra **35** (2007), 4183–4197.
[6] A. Erfanian and R. Rezaei, *On the commutativity degree of compact groups.* Arch. Math. (Basel) **93** (2009), 201–212.
[7] P. X. Gallagher, *The number of conjugacy classes in a finite group.* Math. Z. **118** (1970), 175–179.
[8] R. M. Guralnick and G. R. Robinson, *On the commuting probability in finite groups.* J. Algebra **300** (2006), 509–528.
[9] W. H. Gustafson, *What is the probability that two groups elements commute?.* Amer. Math. Monthly **80** (1973), 1031–1304.
[10] M. Hall and J. K. Senior, *The Groups of Order 2^n ($n \leq 6$).* Macmillan, New York, 1964.
[11] P. Hall, *The classification of prime-power groups.* J. Reine Ang. Math. **182** (1940), 130–141.
[12] N. S. Hekster, *On the structure of n-isoclinism classes of groups.* J. Pure Appl. Algebra **40** (1986), 63–85.
[13] K. H. Hofmann and S. A. Morris, *The Structure of Compact Groups.* W. de Gruyter, Berlin, 1998.
[14] P. Lescot, *Isoclinism classes and commutativity degrees of finite groups.* J. Algebra **177** (1995), 847–869.
[15] D. J. S. Robinson, *A course in the theory of groups.* Springer, Heidelberg, 1982.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Malayer, Post Box: 657719, 95863, Malayer, Iran
E-mail address: `ras_rezaei@yahoo.com`

Departement of Mathematics, University of Palermo, via Archirafi 14, 90123, Palermo, Italy
E-mail address: `francescog.russo@yahoo.com`